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| **Table 1. Response rate among partners** |

 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Partner Type** | **Number of responded partners** | **Total number of partners** | **Response Rate (%)** |
| **Donors** | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| **International NGOs** | 2 | 2 | 100.0% |
| **National Authority** | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| **National NGOs** | 0 | 3 | 0.0% |
| **ICRC/IFRC** | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| **UN Organizations** | 0 | 4 | 0.0% |
| **Total** | 3 | 10 | 30% |

 |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 2. Score matrix** |

 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Core Functions** | **Indicative characteristics** | **Performance status** | **Performance status****Constraints: unexpected  circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified** | **Follow-up action, with timeline,** **(when status is orange or red) and/or  support required** |  **Who / lead** |  **Time line / commence** |
| **1. Supporting service delivery**  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Providing a platform that ensures service delivery is driven by Humanitarian Response Plan and strategic priorities | *Established, relevant coordination mechanism recognising national systems, subnational and co-lead aspects; stakeholders participatingregularly and effectively; cluster coordinator active in inter-cluster and related meetings.* | **Satisfactory** | * No Frequent Meetings to Establish link between the nutrition cluster
* Partner not Providing the necessary information
 | * Cluster coordinator with Cooperation with cluster members
* Monthly meeting.
* SCI
 | * NCC +sub NCC + SCI
 | * Monthly
 |
| 1.2 Developing mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery | *Cluster partner engagement in dynamic mapping of presence and capacity (4W); information sharing across clusters in line with joint Strategic Objectives.* | **Good** |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Preparing needs assessments and analysis of gaps (across and within Clusters, using information management tools as needed) to inform the setting of priorities  | *Use of assessment tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, individual assessment / survey results shared and/or carried out jointly as appropriate.* | **Satisfactory** | NCC to do not conduct SMART Survey.Partners to Collecting the Data and sharing with Cluster Sharing the needs assessment results between all Cluster partnersCluster partners must conduct a baseline study before implement any project’s activity, | NCC + All partners  | * Sub NCC
 | Within the cluster meeting  |
| 2.2 Identifying and finding solutions for (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication and cross-cutting issues | *Joint analysis for current and anticipated risks, needs, gaps and constraints; cross cutting issues addressed from outset.* | **Satisfactory** | * No primer coordination with local authorities
 | * All Humanitarian actors
* Monthly Meeting in Cluster with update of Partners
* Cluster coordinator
 | Sub NCC + NCC | * Monthly
 |
| 2.3 Formulating priorities on the basis of analysis  |  *Joint analysis supporting response planning and prioritisation in short and medium term* | **Satisfactory** | * Collecting and adhering by results from SMART Survey
 | * Partners
* Before submitting proposals of each project
 | Sub NCC  | Every months  |
| **3. Planning and implementing Cluster strategies**  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support realization of the overall response’s strategic objectives | *Strategic plan based on identified priorities, shows synergies with other sectors against strategic objectives, addresses cross cutting issues, incorporates exit strategy discussion and is developed jointly with partners. Plan is updated regularly and guides response.* | **Satisfactory** | * Updating the list of Participants contact and to add GHO and DHO in the list
 | * Sup Nutrition Cluster Coordinator
 | - DR, Waddah | * Monthly in the sup cluster meeting
 |
| 3.2 Applying and adhering to common standards and guidelines  | *Use of existing national standards and guidelines where possible. Standards and guidance are agreed to, adhered to and reported against.* | **Good** |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3 Clarifying funding requirements, helping to set priorities, and agreeing Cluster contributions to the HC’s overall humanitarian funding proposals  | *Funding requirements determined with partners, allocation under jointly agreed criteria and prioritisation, status tracked and information shared.* | **Unsatisfactory** | * All Partners supposed to contribute in preparing the proposals for the funding requirements
 | * Sub- National cluster coordinator should update all partners of the timeline to get the fund.
 | * DR, Waddah
 | * In each cluster meeting should give an update.
 |
| **4. Monitoring and evaluating performance** |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Monitoring and reporting on activities and needs | *N/A* | **Satisfactory** | -Identifying gaps by using monitoring tools -Sharing all monitoring tools with Partners | * Partner (NGOs National and Inter national
* Monthly Quarterly and yearly
 | * DR, Waddah to share the monthly analysis for all partner s to review and get the feedback.
 | * Monthly analysis
 |
| 4.2 Measuring progress against the Cluster strategy and agreed results | *N/A* | **Unsatisfactory** | -Inaccessible due to security situation - Implementing the project activities according to the work plan | - National cluster coordinators and all partners  | - NCC | - Quarterly  |
| 4.3 Recommending corrective action where necessary | *N/A* | **Unsatisfactory** | Improve the quality of project activities (Such as reporting on timely manner ) | All partner should a raise the monthly report  | * DR, Waddah and Partners
 | * Monthly
 |
| **5. Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning** |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 National contingency plans identified, updated and shared | *N/A* | **Good** |  |  |  |  |
| 5.2 Cluster roles and responsibilities defined and understood | *N/A* | **Good** |  |  |  |  |
| 5.3 Early warning reports shared with partners | *N/A* | **Good** |  |  |  |  |
| **6. Advocacy** |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Identify concerns, and contributing key information and messages to HC and HCT messaging and action | *N/A* | **Weak** | The NCC +sub NCC to sharing the suggesting as bellows: * Case studies
* Success story.
* Campaigns
* Establish social networks for advocacy
* Coordination with the parties and related parties to increase the level of advocacy
 | * NCC
* Partner.
* Before, during and after.
* NCC,GHO+ partners within 2 week
 | Dr. Wadda + NCC +All partners  | * Monthly
 |
| 6.2 Undertaking advocacy on behalf of Cluster, Cluster members and affected people | *N/A* | **Weak** | * Presentation of achievements (Success stories)
* Conduct meetings and workshops on information on advocacy.
* Clarity and transparency in advocacy activities to meet advocacy objectives
* Non-advocacy across the Central Cluster
 | * Cooperation Partners.
* Before , during and after.
* NCC +Sub NCC in every sub cluster meeting
 | * NCC
* OCHA
 | * Within one month
 |
| **7 Accountability to affected people** |  |  |  |  |
| 7.1 Mechanisms to consult and involve affected people in decision-making agreed upon and used by partners | *N/A* | **Satisfactory** | * Involving the community leaders by taking some suggestion about their concern.
* Capacity building for community leaders.
* Establishment of a proposal fund for members in the Cluster/
* Involving society in decision-making, through courses, workshops
 | * Before , during and after
* NCC+GHO +sub NCC
 | * Sub NCC
* All partners
* GHO
 | * Within one month
 |
| 7.2 Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints on the assistance received agreed upon and used by partners | *N/A* | **Good** |  |  |  |  |
| 7.3 Key issues relating to protection from sexual exploitation and abuse have been raised and discussed | *N/A* | **Unsatisfactory** | * Activating the protection cluster frequent meeting.
* Finding solutions from members
* Formulate a mechanism that obligates all partners to protect the beneficiary through personal and social cards and through community committees aimed at avoiding exploitation of the beneficiary
 | * Cluster coordinator of protection.
* Monthly.
* NCC+GHO +sub NCC

And discussed in the sub national cluster meeting  | * LOCAI authorities
 | * Within ten days s
 |

 |  |